

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/452,328		11/30/1999	SWAIN W. PORTER	003646.P009	1160	
25943	7590	12/02/2003		EXAMINER		
	•	AMSON & WYA	FLYNN, KI	FLYNN, KIMBERLY D		
	PACWEST CENTER, SUITES 1600-1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE				PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAN	ND, OR 97	7204		2153		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/452,328	PORTER, SWAIN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly D Flynn	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	S(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Sep	<u>otember 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This a	ction is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  □ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.  □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers	election requirement.					
<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not receive	on No ed in this National Stage				
since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a)  The translation of the foreign language prov 14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	sentence of the specification or risional application has been rec priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/452,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

#### Detailed Action

### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 11 18, 21-22, 25-27, 30-32, 35-37, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Niemi (6,415,294 hereinafter Niemi).

In considering claim 1, 7-10, 18, 21, 25-26, and 30-31, Niemi discloses an automated method for assisting a user of the client system in retrieving and browsing information, the method comprising:

retrieving and displaying on a display of the client system for browsing, a first information page having content, responsive to user direction (see col. 4, lines 2-16); and automatically assembling and augmenting the first information page being browsed with one or more information source identifiers identifying one or more information pages that may be additionally retrieved, based at least in part on a portion of the content of said first information page (see col. 1, lines 45-56; col. 5, lines 8-17; and col. 6, lines 33-40, see also fig. 2).

In considering claim 2, Niemi discloses a method wherein the method further comprises performing on said client system in real time, on retrieval of the first information page, analysis

Art Unit: 2153

of the first information page to determine the portion of the content of said first information page on which said automatic assembling and augmenting is based (keyword phrases) (see col. 5, lines 8-17).

In considering claims 6, 22, 27 and 32, Niemi discloses a method further comprises dynamically determining related second keywords of the presence if first keywords; and the providing of information source identifies to the client system is made based at least in part on the dynamically determined related second keywords (col. 4, lines 43-60 and col. 5, lines 2-17).

In considering claim 11, Niemi discloses a method wherein said first information page is an information page constituted using some type of mark-up language (col. 3, lines 52-57).

In considering claim 35, Niemi discloses a client system comprising:

a display (see fig. 1 (6, display)); and

a browser (see fig. 1 (5, browser)) to facilitate augmented viewing of a first information page having contents, including and analyzer equipped to dynamically assemble a plurality of information source identifiers identifying a plurality of information pages that may be additionally retrieved, based at least in part on a portion of the first retrieved information page (col. 5, lines 8-17; and col. 6, lines 33-40, see also fig. 2).

In considering claim 36 and 41 Niemi discloses the analyzer further comprises a lexical analyzer to facilitate determination in real time unique nouns in the first retrieved information page being browsed (fig. 1 (13, text analyzer function) see also col. col. 5, lines 2-10).

In considering claim 37 and 39, Niemi discloses a server system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/452,328

Art Unit: 2153

a network interface to couple the server system to a network (see. fig. 1 (2, modem));

an information source database (12, database) having a first plurality of keywords and a plurality of associated information source identifiers of the keywords, identifying a plurality of information pages that may be additionally retrieved, to facilitate augmented provision of dynamically assembled information source identifiers by a browser of a coupled client system, based at least in part on content of a first information page retrieved from a third party location for browsing on the client system (col. 4, lines 20-23, and lines 54-57).

In considering claim 40, Niemi discloses the server system further comprises a keyword database, having a second plurality of keywords and the first plurality of keywords, the first and second keywords of presence ones of first keywords in the first retrieved information page (col. 4, lines 20-23, and lines 54-57).

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubinstein et al. (5,913,215 hereinafter Rubinstein).

Art Unit: 2153

In considering claim 3, Niemi discloses a method wherein said analysis comprises performing on said client system in real time, on retrieval of the first information page, scanning of said first information page for unique words presence, accessing a current table of keywords to determine if any of the unique words are to be considered as keywords (col. 5, lines 2-17).

Although Niemi et al. shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he fails to disclose outputting the unique nouns so should be considered as the presence ones of first keyword. Nonetheless, Rubinstein, whose invention is a system for browsing by prompted keyword phrases, discloses such an outputting of the unique nouns that should be considered as the presence ones of first keywords (see col. 16, lines 18-23). Therefore, given the teachings of Rubinstein, it would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skills in the art to modify Niemi to include the step of outputting of the unique nouns that should be considered as the presence ones of first keywords so that the user may use the keywords to construct a query expression in which one of the keywords is an operand, thus allowing the user to perform a more rapid and comprehensive search.

In considering claim 4, Rubinstein further discloses a method wherein the method further comprises designating to a browser of the client system a first of a plurality of tables of keywords as the current table of keywords (see col. 12, lines 51-54; Fig. 11, Phrases View Window 1105; Fig. 12, Words View Window 1200).

In considering claim 5, Rubinstein further discloses a method wherein the method further comprises loading/downloading said plurality of tables of keywords onto the client system (see col. 16, lines 9-13; Fig. 11, Phrases View Window 1105).

Application/Control Number: 09/452,328

Art Unit: 2153

5. Claims 12-17, 19-20, 23-24, 28-29, 33-34, 38, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niemi in view of Finseth et al (6,271,840 hereinafter Finseth).

In considering claim 12, Niemi discloses a method wherein the method further comprises displaying on said display a selected one of a second information page corresponding to a first of the additional information pages (see Fig. 13, Links View Window).

Although Niemi et al. shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he fails to disclose displaying a thumbnail of the second information page. However, Finseth, whose invention is a method for providing graphical outputs from search engine results, discloses such a thumbnail of a retrieved information page (see Fig. 7, Rendered Images 142; col. 5, lines 43-52). Therefore, given the teachings of Finseth, it would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skills in the art to modify Niemi et al. by displaying a thumbnail of the second information page in order to view a physical image of the information page.

In considering claims 13 and 16, Finseth further discloses a method wherein said displaying of a thumbnail comprises performing on said client system in real time, on retrieval of the first information page, a selected one of (a) retrieving said thumbnail and (b) retrieving said second information page and dithering said retrieved second information page to form said thumbnail (see Fig. 7, Rendered Images 142; col. 5, lines 43-52).

In considering claims 14 and 17, Finseth further discloses a method wherein said displaying of a thumbnail is made responsive to proximate placement of a cursor next to a first information source identifier corresponding to said second information page (see col. 8, lines 45-55).

In considering claim 15, Niemi et al. discloses an automated method for assisting a user

Application/Control Number: 09/452,328

Art Unit: 2153

of the client system to retrieve and browse information, the method comprising:

retrieving and displaying on a display of the client system for browsing, a first information page having content, responsive to user direction (see col. 4, lines 2-16).

performing on said client system in real time, on retrieval of the first information page, analysis of the first information page to determine at least a portion of the content of said first information page; automatically assembling and augmenting the first information page being browsed with one or more information source identifiers identifying one or more information pages that may be additionally retrieved, based at least in part on the automatically determined portion of the content of said first information page (see col. 1, lines 45-56; col. 5, lines 8-17; and col. 6, lines 33-40, see also fig. 2); and

Additionally, Finseth discloses presenting on the display, responsive to a user event, a thumbnail of a second information page corresponding to a first of the identified information pages (see Fig. 7, Rendered Images 142; col. 5, lines 43-52).

In considering claims 23, 28, and 33, Finseth further discloses a method wherein the method further comprises providing to said client system a thumb nail of a second information page corresponding to a first of said information source identifiers (see Fig. 7, Rendered Images 142; col. 5, lines 43-52).

In considering claims 24, 29, and 34, Finseth discloses a method wherein the method further comprises retrieving an information page and dithering the information page to form said thumbnail (see Fig. 1, Web Page Renderer Process 52; col. 5, lines 43-52).

In considering claim 19, Finseth discloses a method wherein the method further

comprises providing to said client system thumbnail of a second information page corresponding to a first of said information source identifiers (see Fig. 7, Rendered Images 142; col. 5, lines 43-52).

In considering claim 20, Finseth discloses a method wherein the method further comprises retrieving an information page and dithering the information page to form said thumbnail (see Fig. 1, Web Page Renderer Process 52; col. 5, lines 43-52).

In considering claims 38 and 42, Finseth discloses a system further comprises a dithering module to dither a second information page retrieved to augment the first retrieved information page, to generate a thumbnail of the second retrieved information page (see Fig. 1, Web Page Renderer Process 52; col. 5, lines 44-51).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, filed September 11, 2003 with respect to claims 1-42 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D Flynn whose telephone number is 703-308-7609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kimberly D Flynn Examiner Art Unit 2153

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

KF

November 26, 2003

GLENTON B. BURGESS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100